IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 35517

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2009 Unpublished Opinion No. 387
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: March 16, 2009
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
STEVEN JAMES PARKER,)) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Appeal from the District Court of the County. Hon. Michael R. Crabtree,	e Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Cassia District Judge.
E	rrent unified sentences of thirty years, with of fifteen years, for two counts of robbery,

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Eric D. Fredericksen, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before LANSING, Chief Judge, PERRY, Judge and GRATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

affirmed.

Steven James Parker was convicted of two counts of robbery, Idaho Code § 18-6501, and other felonies. The district court imposed concurrent unified sentences of thirty years, with minimum periods of confinement of fifteen years. Parker appeals, contending that the sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Parker's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.